IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR

WEDNESDAY, THE 27TH JUNE 2007 / 6TH ASHADHA 1929

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 2505 of 2007()

---

CRA.559/2004 of ADDL. SESSIONS COURT (ADHOC), THRISSUR
CC.989/2001 of JUDL. MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS COURT-I, THRISSUR

.....

REVN. PETITIONER: REVISION PETITIONER/APPELLANT/ACCUSED

---

K.A.AJITHA, W/O KOLANGARA RAVEENDRAN,
KUZHIKKATTUSSERY DESOM, THAZHEKKAD VILLAGE,
THRISSUR.

BY ADV. SRI.S.RAJEEV

RESPONDENTS: COMPLAINANT & STATE

---

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF KERALA,
ERNAKULAM.

2. SIVAPURI KURIES & LOAN(P) LTD.,
THRISSUR, REP. BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR,
C.R.DAVIS, CHITTILAPPILLY HOUSE,
KOLANGATTUKARA P.O., CHOOLISSERY, THRISSUR.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.K.S. SIVAKUMAR
SRI.K.K.DHEERENDRAKRISHNAN FOR R2

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 27/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

**V. RAMKUMAR, J.**

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

**Crl.R.P. 2505 of 2007**

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

**Dated, this the 27th day of June 2007**

**ORDER**

The accused in C.C.No. 989 of 2001 on th**e file** of the J.M.F.C. - I, Thrissur, for an offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, challenges the conviction entered and the sentence passed against him concurrently by the courts below.

2. Pending this revision, the parties have settled the matter. **Crl.M.A 6907 of 2007** has been filed under Section 147 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 seeking permission to record the composition entered into between the revision petitioner and the complainant. The said petition has been signed by both the revision petitioner as well as the complainant and their respective counsels. In the light of this development, the aforementioned composition is recorded and it will have the effect of an acquittal of the revision petitioner within the meaning of Sec. 320 (8) Cr.P.C. Money, if any, deposited by the revision petitioner pursuant to the orders, if any, passed by the lower appellate court shall be refunded to the revision petitioner.

This Crl. R.P. is disposed of as above.

**V. RAMKUMAR,**
**(JUDGE)**

ani.